

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/088,634	MALIGA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ashwin Mehta	1638	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Ashwin Mehta.

(3) _____.

 (2) Dr. Kathleen Rigaut.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2006
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

9 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner's amendment, to address comments regarding antecedence made by the BPAI in the decision dated September 22, 2006 (pages 4 and 5), was proposed and approved.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)